arXiv:0807.0608v1 [math.RA] 3 Jul 2008

THE FREIHEITSSATZ AND THE AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE RIGHT-SYMMETRIC ALGEBRAS

Daniyar Kozybaev
Department of Mathematics, Eurasian National University
Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan
e-mail: *kozybayev@rambler.ru*,
Leonid Makar-Limanov [1]
Department of Mathematics & Computer Science,
Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel and
Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University,
Detroit, MI 48202, USA
e-mail: *lml@math.wayne.edu*,
and
Ualbai Umirbaev
Department of Mathematics, Eurasian National University
Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan
e-mail: *umirbaev@yahoo.com*

Abstract. We prove the Freiheitssatz for right-symmetric algebras and the decidability of the word problem for right-symmetric algebras with a single defining relation. We also prove that two generated subalgebras of free right-symmetric algebras are free and automorphisms of two generated free right-symmetric algebras are tame.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 17A36, 17A50; Secondary 17B01, 17B40, 17D25.

Key words: Right-symmetric algebras, Freiheitssatz, automorphisms.

1. Introduction

The Freiheitssatz (“freedom/independence theorem” in German), one of the most important theorems of combinatorial group theory, was proposed by M. Dehn in the geometric setting and proved by his student, W. Magnus, in his doctoral thesis [14]. The Freiheitssatz says the following: Let $G = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n | r = 1\rangle$ be a group defined by a single cyclically reduced relator r. If x_n appears in r, then the subgroup of G generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ is a free group, freely generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. W. Magnus also proved in [14] the decidability of the word problem for groups with a single defining relation. The Freiheitssatz for solvable and nilpotent groups was studied by N. S. Romanovskii [20].

The Freiheitssatz and the decidability of the word problem for Lie algebras with a single defining relation was proved by A. I. Shirshov [23]. L. Makar-Limanov [16] proved

---

[1] Supported by an NSA grant.

the Freiheitssatz for associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero. Question about the decidability of the word problem for associative algebras (and also for semigroups) with a single defining relation and the Freiheitssatz for associative algebras in positive characteristic remain open (see [2]).

An algebra A over an arbitrary field k is called *right-symmetric* if it satisfies the identity

$$(xy)z - x(yz) = (xz)y - x(zy). \tag{1}$$

In other words, the associator $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ is symmetric in y and z. The variety of right-symmetric algebras is Lie-admissible, i.e., each right-symmetric algebra A with the operation $[x, y] = xy - yx$ is a Lie algebra. Right-symmetric algebras are associated with locally affine manifolds (see [27]).

A construction of linear bases of free right-symmetric algebras is given in [21]. Some further properties of this basis were established in [8]. Identities of right-symmetric algebras were considered in [7]. An analog of the Magnus embedding theorem for right-symmetric algebras is proved in [10]. The structure of universal multiplicative enveloping algebras of free right-symmetric algebras is studied in [11].

In this paper we continue the study of free right-symmetric algebras. We prove the Freiheitssatz for right-symmetric algebras and the decidability of the word problem for right-symmetric algebras with a single defining relation. These results generalize the results of A. I. Shirshov mentioned above.

One of the fundamental results about free associative algebras is the Bergman centralizer theorem (see [1]) which says that the centralizer of any nonconstant element is a polynomial algebra in a single variable. This theorem plays a crucial role in the study of algorithmic and combinatorial questions. An analogue of this result for free Poisson algebras over a field of characteristic zero is proved in [17]. In free Lie algebras the centralizer is just the linear subspace generated by an element. As we will see a similar result is true for the free right-symmetric algebras.

It is well known (see, for example [5]) that if two elements of a free associative algebra do not generate freely a free subalgebra then they commute, and therefore by the Bergman centralizer theorem are polynomials in a third variable. An analogue of this result for free Poisson algebras is formulated in [17] and remains open. In the free right-symmetric algebras we show that two nonconstant elements generate a free right-symmetric algebra either of rank one or rank two.

The question about the freeness of subalgebras of free right symmetric algebras was raised by A. S. Dzhumadildaev (oral communication). Using the methods of [25], the first author proved that the variety of right-symmetric algebras is not Nielsen-Schreier. He also constructed a five generated non-free subalgebra of a free right-symmetric algebra (see [11]).

We prove here that two generated subalgebras of free right-symmetric algebras are free. This is rather surprising: right-symmetric algebras are the first non Nielsen-Schreier variety with this property known to us. For example two generated subalgebras of polynomial algebras and free associative algebras are not necessarily free.

It is well known [6, 9, 13, 15] that the automorphisms of polynomial algebras and free associative algebras in two variables are tame. The automorphisms of free Poisson algebras in two variables over a field of characteristic zero are also tame [18]. We prove that the automorphisms of two generated free right-symmetric algebras are tame.

It is also known [22, 26] that polynomial algebras (consequently, free Poisson algebras) and free associative algebras in three variables in the case of characteristic zero have wild automorphisms. On the other hand, in 1964 P.Cohn [4] proved that the automorphisms of a free Lie algebra with a finite set of generators are tame. The question about the existence of wild automorphisms of free right-symmetric algebras of larger ranks remains open.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove some elementary properties of the linear basis constructed in [21]. In Section 3 we study algebras with a single defining relations. In Section 4 we study subalgebras and automorphisms.

2. Arithmetics of good words

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite alphabet. Denote by X^* the monoid of all nonassociative words on X. Denote by $d(u)$ the degree function on X^* such that $d(x_i) = 1$ for all i. Every nonassociative word u of degree ≥ 2 can be can be uniquely written as $u = u_1u_2$, where $d(u_1), d(u_2) < d(u)$.

Put $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n$. Let u and v be arbitrary elements of X^*. We say that $u < v$ if $d(u) < d(v)$. If $d(u) = d(v) \geq 2$, $u = u_1u_2$, and $v = v_1v_2$, then $u < v$ if either $u_1 < v_1$ or $u_1 = v_1$ and $u_2 < v_2$.

A word is called *bad* if it contains a subword of the form $(rs)t \in X^*$, where $d(r), d(s), d(t) \geq 1$ and $s > t$. A word is called *good* if it is not bad. Denote by W the set of all good words in the alphabet X.

Let $A = A_n = RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ be the free right-symmetric algebra in the variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ over a field k. Every nonassociative word in the alphabet X represents a certain element of A and this representation gives an embedding of X^* into A. So for $u \in X^*$ we denote the element of A defined by u by the same symbol.

According to [21] the set of all good words form a linear basis of A: every nonzero element f of A can be uniquely represented as

$$f = \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 + \ldots + \lambda_m w_m,$$

where $w_i \in W$, $0 \neq \lambda_i \in k$ for all i and $w_1 > w_2 > \ldots > w_m$.

Denote by $\overline{f}$ the *leading word* w_1 of f. The coefficient λ_1 is called the *leading coefficient* and $\lambda_1 w_1$ is called the leading term of f. Usually we will assume that the leading coefficients of the elements under consideration are equal to 1.

Lemma 1. *Let $w \in X^*$ be an arbitrary nonassociative word. Then $\overline{w} \leq w$ and the equality holds if and only if $w \in W$.*

Proof. Assume that the statement of the lemma is true for all nonassociative words u such that $u < w$. If $w \in W$ then $\overline{w} = w$. Suppose that $w = uv$ is bad. If one of the words u or v is bad then, by the assumption above, w is a linear combination of words $u'v'$ such that $u'v' < w$. Applying our assumption to the words $u'v'$, we can say that w is a linear combination of good words w' such that $w' < w$.

Suppose that both u and v are good. This means $u = u_1u_2$ and $u_2 > v$ since w is bad. By (1), we have

$$w = (u_1u_2)v = (u_1v)u_2 + u_1(u_2v) - u_1(vu_2)$$

in the algebra A. Note that $(u_1v)u_2, u_1(u_2v), u_1(vu_2) < w$. Then our assumption gives the statement of the lemma again. $\square$

Remark 1. *$d(\overline{w}) = d(w)$ for any word $w \in X^*$.*

Clear since all four words in (1) have the same degree.

For every $f \in A$ denote by R_f the operator of right multiplication by f acting on A, i.e., $uR_f = uf$ for all $u \in A$. In particular, if $w, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \in X^*$ then

$$wR_{w_1}R_{w_2}\ldots R_{w_m} = (\ldots((ww_1)w_2)\ldots w_m).$$

Lemma 2. *A good word $w \in W$ can be uniquely represented as*

$$w = x_iR_{w_1}R_{w_2}\ldots R_{w_m}, \tag{2}$$

where $w_j \in W$ for all j and $w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \ldots \leq w_m$.

Proof. We prove it by induction on $d(w)$. If $w = uv$ then u and v are good words and by induction hypothesis we can assume that $u = x_iR_{u_1}R_{u_2}\ldots R_{u_s}$ where $u_j \in W$ and $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \ldots \leq u_s$. We have $u = u'u_s$ where $u' = x_iR_{u_1}R_{u_2}\ldots R_{u_{s-1}}$. Note that $u_s \leq v$ since $w = uv = (u'u_s)v$ is good. Consequently, $w = x_iR_{u_1}R_{u_2}\ldots R_{u_s}R_v$ and $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \ldots \leq u_s \leq v$. The base of induction when $d(w) = 1$ and uniqueness are obvious. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let u and v be arbitrary good words and assume that $u = x_iR_{u_1}R_{u_2}\ldots R_{u_m}$. Then*

$$\overline{uv} = x_iR_{u_1}\ldots R_{u_s}R_vR_{u_{s+1}}\ldots R_{u_m},$$

where $u_1 \leq \ldots \leq u_s \leq v < u_{s+1} \leq \ldots \leq u_m$.

Proof. We assume that the statement of the lemma is true for all pairs of good words u' and v' such that $u'v' < uv$. If $u_m \leq v$ then uv is also good and $\overline{uv} = uv$ satisfies the statement of the lemma. Suppose that $u_m > v$ and put $w = x_iR_{u_1}R_{u_2}\ldots R_{u_{m-1}}$. Then, by (1), we have

$$uv = (wu_m)v = (wv)u_m + w(u_mv) - w(vu_m). \tag{3}$$

By our assumption, $\overline{wv} = x_iR_{u_1}\ldots R_{u_s}R_vR_{u_{s+1}}\ldots R_{u_{m-1}}$, where $u_1 \leq \ldots \leq u_s \leq v < u_{s+1} \leq \ldots \leq u_{m-1}$. Consequently,

$$wv = \overline{wv} + \sum_i \alpha_i w_i,$$

where w_i are good and $\overline{wv} > w_i$ for all i. We have

$$(wv)u_m = \overline{wv}u_m + \sum_i \alpha_i w_i u_m.$$

Note that $t = \overline{wv}u_m$ is good and that $t > w_iu_m$. Also $t > w(u_mv), w(vu_m)$ since $d(\overline{wv}) = d(w) + d(v)$ (see Remark 1). Lemma 1 gives $\overline{(wv)u_m} = t$. Then (3) and Lemma 1 give that $\overline{uv} = t$. $\square$

Corollary 1. *If* $u,\ v,\ w \in W$ *then* $\overline{(uv)w} = \overline{(uw)v}$.

Lemma 4. *Let* u*,* v*, and* w *be arbitrary good words. If* $u < v$ *then* $\overline{wu} < \overline{wv}$ *and* $\overline{uw} < \overline{vw}$.

Proof. We should consider only the case $d(u) = d(v)$, otherwise the statement follows from the definition of order $\leq$. First we prove that $\overline{wu} < \overline{wv}$ by induction on $d(w)$. If wv is good then $\overline{wv} = wv > wu \geq \overline{wu}$ (see Lemma 1). If wv is bad then $w = w_1w_2$ and $w_2 > v > u$. In this case $\overline{wu} = \overline{w_1u}w_2$ and $\overline{wv} = \overline{w_1v}w_2$ by Lemma 3. By induction on $d(w)$ we can assume that $\overline{w_1u} < \overline{w_1v}$. Consequently, $\overline{wu} < \overline{wv}$.

Now we prove that $\overline{uw} < \overline{vw}$. If vw is good then Lemma 1 gives the claim. If vw is bad then $v = v_1v_2$ and $v_2 > w$. By Lemma 3, $\overline{vw} = \overline{v_1w}v_2$. Since $d(u) = d(v)$ we can write $u = u_1u_2$.

If $w > u_2$ then $\overline{uw} = (u_1u_2)w$. In this case $u_1u_2 < u_1w \leq v_1w$ and $u_1u_2 = \overline{u_1u_2} < \overline{u_1w} \leq \overline{v_1w}$ by the first claim of the Lemma and by induction on $d(v)$. Therefore $(u_1u_2)w < \overline{v_1w}v_2$ since $d((u_1u_2)w) = d(\overline{v_1w}v_2)$ and $u_1u_2 < \overline{v_1w}$.

If $w = u_2$ and $v_1 > u_1$ then inequalities above again give $u_1u_2 < \overline{v_1w}$ and $(u_1u_2)w < \overline{v_1w}v_2$.

If $w = u_2$ and $v_1 = u_1$ then $v_2 > u_2$ and $\overline{uw} = (v_1u_2)u_2 < (v_1u_2)v_2 = \overline{vw}$.

If $u_2 > w$ then $\overline{uw} = \overline{u_1w}u_2$ by Lemma 3. If $u_1 < v_1$ then $\overline{u_1w} < \overline{v_1w}$ by induction and $\overline{uw} = \overline{u_1w}u_2 < \overline{v_1w}v_2 = \overline{vw}$. If $u_1 = v_1$ then $u_2 < v_2$ and $\overline{uw} = \overline{u_1w}u_2 = \overline{v_1w}u_2 < \overline{v_1w}v_2 = \overline{vw}$. The second claim of the lemma is proved. □

Corollary 2. *If* $f, g \in A$ *then* $\overline{fg} = \overline{\overline{f}\,\overline{g}}$.

Proof. If $w,\ x,\ y,\ z \in W$ and $w < x,\ y < z$ then $wy < wz < xz$ and $\overline{wy} < \overline{wz} < \overline{xz}$ by Lemma 4. Since $f = \lambda_1u_1 + \lambda_2u_2 + \ldots + \lambda_mu_m$ and $g = \mu_1w_1 + \mu_2w_2 + \ldots + \mu_{m'}w_{m'}$ where $u_i,\ w_i \in W$, $\lambda_i,\ \mu_i \in k \setminus 0$ for all i, $u_1 > u_2 > \ldots u_m$, and $w_1 > w_2 > \ldots > w_{m'}$ we see that $\overline{u_1w_1} > \overline{u_iw_j}$ if $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$. □

Lemma 5. *If* u *and* v *are non-empty good words and* $u < v$ *then* $\overline{uv} < \overline{vu}$.

Proof. If vu is a good word then $\overline{vu} = vu > uv \geq \overline{uv}$. If vu is a bad word then by Lemma 3 $\overline{vu} = x_iR_{v_1}\ldots R_{v_s}R_u\ldots R_{v_m}$ where $d(v_m) > 0$. So $\overline{vu} = wv_m$ where $w = x_iR_{v_1}\ldots R_{v_s}R_u\ldots R_{v_{k-1}}$ and $wv_m > uv$ since $d(uv) = d(\overline{vu})$ by Remark 1 and $d(w) > d(u)$. □

Corollary 3. *If* $f, g \in A \setminus k$ *and* $[f, g] = 0$ *then* $g = cf + \alpha$ *where* $c, \alpha \in k$*. So* $Z(f) = kf + k$ *where* $Z(f)$ *is the centralizer of* f*.*

Proof. If $\overline{f} \neq \overline{g}$ then by the Lemma $\overline{fg} \neq \overline{gf}$ and $[f, g] \neq 0$. So $\overline{f} = \overline{g}$ and $\overline{g - cf} < \overline{f}$ for some $c \in k$. Since $[f, g - cf] = 0$ we can conclude that $g - cf = \alpha$. □

3. ALGEBRAS WITH A SINGLE DEFINING RELATION

In this section we prove that the word problem for right-symmetric algebras with single relation is decidable and the Freiheitssatz.

Denote by (f) the two-sided ideal of $A = RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ generated by an $f \in A$. We would like to find a linear basis of (f).

Consider $B = RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y\rangle$ where y is an additional variable.

Extend the order $<$ from A to B by assigning $d(y) = 1$ and $y > x_n$ and define good words in the alphabet $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y\}$ relative to this order exactly as it was done in Section 2. Denote this set by $W(B)$. For a non-associative word w in the alphabet $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y$ denote by $d_y(w)$ the degree of w relative to y.

Denote by W_y the set of all good words $u \in W(B)$ with $d_y(u) = 1$.

Lemma 6. *If $\pi : B \mapsto A$ is a map defined by $\pi(x_i) = x_i$ and $\pi(y) = f$ then the linear span of $\pi(W_y)$ is (f).*

Proof. Let (y) be the ideal generated by y in B. Then $\pi((y)) = (f)$. Any element $Y \in (y)$ is a linear combination of good words containing y. If we replace in these words all but one appearance of y by f then the image of the corresponding linear combination of elements of B will be $\pi(Y)$. Since all modified words have degree 1 relative to y they can be presented as linear combinations of elements of W_y. $\square$

Denote $\pi(W_y)$ by E.

Lemma 7. *There exists a subset E' of E which is a basis of (f) such that $\overline{g} \neq \overline{h}$ for $g \neq h \in E'$.*

Proof. We will lead induction on $g \in E$: we assume that the subspace spanned by $E_g = \{h \in E | \overline{h} < \overline{g}\}$ admits a basis consisting of elements of E_g with different leading words. Since $E \subset X^*$ is a well-ordered set, and if we take $g \in E$ with $\overline{g}$ minimal possible then the set E_g is empty and satisfies the Lemma.

In order to prove the Lemma we will check that if $g,\ h \in E$ and $\overline{g} = \overline{h}$ then $g - h$ is a linear combination of elements g_i from E_g.

Denote by $G, H \in W_y$ some elements for which $\pi(G) = g$ and $\pi(H) = h$.

G can be written either as $G = x_i R_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_l}$ where only G_a contains y or as $G = yR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_l}$ where $d_y(G_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$ and in both cases $G_1 \leq G_2 \leq \ldots G_l$ (see Lemma 2). Of course H also can be written in one of these forms: $H = x_i R_{H_1} \ldots R_{H_m}$ where only H_b contains y or $H = yR_{H_1} \ldots R_{H_m}$ and $H_1 \leq H_2 \leq \ldots \leq H_m$.

If $G = yR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_l}$ and $H = yR_{H_1} \ldots R_{H_m}$ then $g = fR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_l}$ and $h = fR_{H_1} \ldots R_{H_m}$. Since $\overline{g} = \overline{h}$ Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that $l = m$ and $G_i = H_i$. So $G = H$ and $g - h = 0$.

If $G = yR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_l}$ and $H = x_i R_{H_1} \ldots R_{H_m}$ then $g = fR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_l}$ and $h = x_i R_{H_1} \ldots R_{\pi(H_b)} \ldots R_{H_m}$. Let $f = x_i R_{f_1} \ldots R_{f_s}$. Since $\overline{\pi(H_b)} \geq f$ we should have $\overline{\pi(H_b)} = G_j$ for some j. So $G_j = \pi(H_b) + \delta$ where δ is a linear combination of good words which are smaller than G_j. So

$$g = \pi(fR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_{j-1}} R_{H_b} R_{G_{j+1}} \ldots R_{G_l} + yR_{G_1} \ldots R_{G_{j-1}} R_\delta R_{G_{j+1}} \ldots R_{G_l}).$$

Since

$$\overline{fR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{j-1}}R_{\delta}R_{G_{j+1}}\dots R_{G_l}} < \overline{g}$$

we can replace G by $G' = fR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{j-1}}R_{H_b}R_{G_{j+1}}\dots R_{G_l}$ and g by $\pi(G')$.

If $G = x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_l}$ and $H = x_jR_{H_1}\dots R_{H_m}$ then $g = x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{\pi(G_a)}\dots R_{G_l}$ and $h = x_jR_{H_1}\dots R_{\pi(H_b)}\dots R_{H_m}$. Since $\overline{g} = \overline{h}$ we should have $x_i = x_j$ and $l = m$. Furthermore, either $\overline{\pi(G_a)} = H_c$ and $\overline{\pi(H_b)} = G_d$ where $c \neq b$ and $d \neq a$ or $\overline{\pi(G_a)} = \overline{\pi(H_b)}$.

If $\overline{\pi(G_a)} \neq \overline{\pi(H_b)}$ then $G_d = \pi(H_b) + \delta$ where δ is a linear combination of good words which are smaller than G_d. Therefore

$$g = \pi(x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{\pi(G_a)}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_{d-1}}R_{H_b}R_{G_{d+1}}\dots R_{G_l} + \\ x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{G_a}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_{d-1}}R_{\delta}R_{G_{d+1}}\dots R_{G_l}).$$

Since

$$\overline{\pi(x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{G_a}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_{d-1}}R_{\delta}R_{G_{d+1}}\dots R_{G_l})} < \overline{g}$$

we can replace G by $G' = x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{\pi(G_a)}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_{d-1}}R_{H_b}R_{G_{d+1}}\dots R_{G_l}$ and g by $\pi(G')$. Here $G'_a = H_b$.

Now consider the case when $\overline{\pi(G_a)} = \overline{\pi(H_b)}$. Let $g_a = \pi(G_a)$, $h_b = \pi(H_b)$. Since $\overline{g_a} < \overline{g}$ we can apply induction to this pair and write $g_a = h_b + \delta$ where δ belongs to the span of E_{g_a}. Therefore $\pi(G_a) = \pi(H_b + \Delta)$ where Δ belongs to the span of W_y and $\pi(\Delta) \in \mathrm{span}(E_{g_a})$. So

$$G = x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{H_b}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_l} + x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{\Delta}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_l}.$$

Since

$$\overline{\pi(x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{\Delta}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_l})} < \overline{g}$$

we can replace G by $G' = x_iR_{G_1}\dots R_{G_{a-1}}R_{H_b}R_{G_{a+1}}\dots R_{G_l}$.

It remains to consider the case when $G_a = H_b$. Since $\overline{g} = \overline{h}$ the sets $G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_{a-1} \leq G_{a+1} \leq \dots G_m$ and $H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_{b-1} \leq H_{b+1} \leq \dots H_m$ should coincide. If $a = b$ then $G = H$ and the Lemma is proved. Assume that $a > b$. Then $G_a > G_{a-1} = H_a > H_b$ ($G_a \neq G_{a-1}$ since $d_y(G_{a-1}) = 0$). But $G_m = H_b$ which proves the Lemma. $\square$

The undecidability of the word problem for Lie algebras was proved by L. A. Bokut' [3]. An explicit example of a finitely presented Lie algebra with undecidable word problem was constructed by G. P. Kukin [12]. If L is a finitely presented Lie algebra then the universal right-symmetric enveloping algebra $A(L)$ of L is also finitely presented algebra with the same set of generators and defining relations [21]. Consequently, the word problem for right-symmetric algebras is also undecidable. On the other hand, A. I. Shirshov [23] proved the decidability of the word problem for Lie algebras with a single defining relation. In the case of right-symmetric algebras we have the next result.

Theorem 1. *The word problem for right-symmetric algebras with a single defining relation is decidable.*

Proof. Let us fix a basis $E' = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m, \dots\}$ with $\overline{e_1} < \overline{e_2} \dots < \overline{e_m} < \dots$ existence of which is guaranteed by Lemma 7. Take an $h \in A$. If $h \in (f)$ then $h = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \lambda_i e_i$.

Let $d_i = d(\overline{e_i})$. Since $\overline{e_1} < \overline{e_2} \ldots < \overline{e_m} < \ldots$, the sequence of natural numbers $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m, \ldots$ is a nondecreasing sequence and for a natural number l there is only finitely many elements of this sequence equal to l. So if $h \in (f)$ then h belongs to a finite-dimensional space $V_d = \operatorname{span}\{e_i \in E' | d_i \leq d(\overline{h})\}$. Therefore we can effectively determine whether h is in (f). $\square$

Remark 2. *Since $d_i \geq d(\overline{f})$ an ideal (f) does not contain elements h with $d(\overline{h}) < d(\overline{f})$.*

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the Freiheitssatz for Lie algebras was proved by A. I. Shirshov [23] and L. Makar-Limanov [16] proved the Freiheitssatz for free associative algebras in the case of characteristic zero. The analogue of these results for right-symmetric algebras is also true.

Theorem 2. (Freiheitssatz) *If $f \in RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ and $f \notin RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle$, then $(f) \cap RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Let $h \in (f) \cap RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle$. For a $w \in W$ consider an endomorphism ρ_w of A to A given by $\rho_w(x_i) = x_i$ if $i < n$ and $\rho_w(x_n) = x_n w$. It is clear that $h \in (\rho_w(f)) \cap RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}\rangle$ for any w. If $h \neq 0$ take a w with $d(w) > d(\overline{h})$. Then $d(\overline{\rho_w(f)}) > d(\overline{h})$ since f contains x_n. Therefore by Remark 2, $(\rho_w(f)) \not\ni h$. $\square$

4. Two generated subalgebras and automorphisms

As in the preceding sections $A = RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ and W is the set of all good words in the alphabet $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$.

Recall that a set of elements $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ of a polynomial algebra is called *algebraically independent* if the subalgebra generated by $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ is a polynomial algebra in the variables $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$. We will use analogous terminology in the case of other free algebras. A set of elements $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ of a free right-symmetric (Lie, associative, or Poisson) algebra is called *free* if the subalgebra generated by $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ is free and $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$ is a free set of generators of this subalgebra.

Lemma 8. *Let $z \in A$ be an arbitrary element which does not belong to the filed k. Then the subalgebra of A which is generated by z is isomorphic to $RS\langle x\rangle$*

Proof. Assume that it is not the case. Then there exists a non-zero element $p(x) \in RS\langle x\rangle$ such that $p(z) = 0$. Since $p(x) = \sum \lambda_i w_i$ where $\lambda_i \in k$ and w_i are good words in alphabet $\{x\}$ we should have two different words $w_a(x)$ and $w_b(x)$ for which $\overline{w_a(z)} = \overline{w_b(z)}$. Let us assume that the pair $w_a(x)$, $w_b(x)$ is a minimal pair with this property. We can write $w_a(x) = xR_{u_1} \ldots R_{u_l}$ and $w_b(x) = xR_{v_1} \ldots R_{v_m}$ according to Lemma 2. So $\overline{zR_{u_1} \ldots R_{u_l}} = \overline{zR_{v_1} \ldots R_{v_m}}$ and Lemma 3 implies that $l = m$ and for each u_i there is a v_j such that $\overline{u_i(z)} = \overline{v_j(z)}$. Since $d(u_i) < d(w_a)$ and $d(v_j) < d(w_b)$ we can conclude that $u_i(x) = w_j(x)$. But then good words w_a and w_b are equal. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Two generated subalgebras of free right-symmetric algebras are free.*

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2 \in A$. Assume that f_1 and f_2 are dependent, i. e. there exists a non-zero element $p(y_1, y_2) \in RS\langle y_1, y_2\rangle$ such that $p(f_1, f_2) = 0$. If $\overline{f_1} = \overline{f_2}$ we can chose $\lambda \in k$

so that $\overline{f_2 - \lambda f_1} < \overline{f_1}$ and replace the pair $f_1,\ f_2$ with a dependent pair $f_1,\ f_2 - \lambda f_1$. Hence we assume that $\overline{f_1} > \overline{f_2}$.

Let π be a homomorphism of $RS\langle y_1, y_2\rangle$ into $RS\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ given by $\pi(y_1) = f_1$, $\pi(y_2) = f_2$.

We can write $p = \sum \lambda_i w_i$ where $w_i \in W(y_1, y_2)$ and $\lambda_i \in k$. Since $\pi(p) = 0$ we see that there should be a pair of different words w_a and w_b in this sum for which $\overline{\pi(w_a)} = \overline{\pi(w_b)}$.

Let us assume that the pair $w_a,\ w_b$ is a minimal pair with this property. We can write $w_a = y_1 R_{u_1} \ldots R_{u_l}$ renaming y_1 and y_2 if necessary. Let $w_b = y_1 R_{v_1} \ldots R_{v_m}$. Since $\overline{\pi(w_1)} = \overline{\pi(w_2)}$ we have $\overline{f_1 R_{\pi(u_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(u_l)}} = \overline{f_1 R_{\pi(v_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(v_m)}}$. Then by Lemmas 2 and 3 for each u_i there is a v_j such that $\overline{\pi(u_i)} = \overline{\pi(v_j)}$. Since $w_a,\ w_b$ is a minimal pair and $d(u_i) < d(w_a)$, $d(v_j) < d(w_b)$ we can conclude that $u_i = v_j$. Since $u_1 \leq \ldots \leq u_l$ and $v_1 \leq \ldots \leq v_m$ we should have $w_a = w_b$ contrary to our assumption. So $w_b(y_1, y_2) = y_2 R_{v_1} \ldots R_{v_m}$. Therefore $\overline{f_1 R_{\pi(u_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(u_l)}} = \overline{f_2 R_{\pi(v_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(v_m)}}$.

If $\overline{\pi(u_i)} = \overline{\pi(v_j)}$ for some pair $i,\ j$ then by Lemma 3 $\pi(w'_a) = \pi(w'_b)$ where w'_a is w_a with omitted R_{u_i} and w'_b is w_b with omitted R_{v_j}. Since $d(w'_a) < d(w_a)$ and $d(w'_b) < d(w_b)$ it would imply that $w'_a = w'_b$. But this impossible since $w'_a = y_1 R_{u_1} \ldots R_{u_l}$ and $w'_b = y_2 R_{v_1} \ldots R_{v_m}$. Therefore $\overline{\pi(u_i)} \neq \overline{\pi(v_j)}$ for any pair $i,\ j$. Since $\overline{f_1 R_{\pi(u_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(u_l)}} = \overline{f_2 R_{\pi(v_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(v_m)}}$ Lemma s 2 and 3 imply that $\overline{f_1} = \overline{x_i R_{\pi(v_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(v_m)} R_{g_1} \ldots R_{g_m}}$ and $\overline{f_2} = \overline{x_i R_{\pi(u_1)} \ldots R_{\pi(u_l)} R_{g_1} \ldots R_{g_m}}$ where $g_i \in A$.

Since $d(\overline{f_1}) \geq d(\overline{f_2})$ we see that $d(\pi(u_i)) \geq d(f_2)$ if $d(u_i) > 0$. Therefore $f_2 = R_{g_1} \ldots R_{g_m}$. If v_i contains y_1 then $d(\pi(v_i)) \geq d(f_1)$ which is also impossible. So $v_i \in RS\langle y_2\rangle$ and $\overline{f_1} \in RS\langle \overline{f_2}\rangle$. But then with the right choice of q we can replace the pair $f_1,\ f_2$ by the pair $f_1 - q(f_2),\ f_2$ where $\overline{f_1 - q(f_2)} < \overline{f_1}$. We can conclude by induction that the subalgebra of A generated by $f_1,\ f_2$ is $RS\langle g\rangle$ for some element $g \in A$. $\square$

A pair of elements f and g of the algebra A is called *reducible* if there exists a good word s in the variable x such that $s(\overline{f}) = \overline{g}$ or $s(\overline{f}) = \overline{g}$. A pair f, g is called *reduced* if it is not reducible.

Consider a subalgebra S of A generated by two nonzero elements f and g. If the pair f and g is reduced and both f and g are not in k then by Theorem 3 they generate a free right-symmetric subalgebra of A of rank two and f and g are free generators of this subalgebra.

Recall that an automorphism ϕ of a free right-symmetric algebra A generated by $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ is called *elementary* if $\phi(x_j) = x_j$ for any $j \neq i$ and $\phi(x_i) = \alpha x_i + f$ where $f \in RS\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n\rangle$. Automorphisms which can be expressed as a composition of elementary automorphisms are called *tame*. Non-tame automorphisms are called *wild*.

Denote by $\phi = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m)$ an automorphism ϕ of A such that $\phi(x_i) = f_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is well known (see, for example [5]) that ϕ is tame if and only if there exists a sequence of elementary transformations such that

$$(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m) = \psi_r \to \psi_{r-1} \to \psi_{r-2} \to \ldots \to \psi_0 = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).$$

Theorem 4. *Automorphisms of two generated free right-symmetric algebras are tame.*

Proof. Let $\varphi = (f_1, f_2)$ be an automorphism of $A_2 = RS\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$. If the pair f_1, f_2 is reducible then, using an appropriate elementary reduction $\phi_{1,q}(f_1) = f_1$, $\phi_{1,q}(f_2) = f_2 - q(f_1)$ or $\phi_{2,q}(f_1) = f_1 - q(f_2)$, $\phi_{2,q}(f_2) = f_2$ we can decrees $d(\overline{f_1 f_2})$. So after a finite number of reductions we obtain a pair f_1', f_2' which is reduced and still generate A_2. So $x_1 = p_1(f_1', f_2')$ and $x_2 = p_2(f_1', f_2')$. Since different good words in f_1', f_2' have different leading words in A_2 (see the proof of Theorem 3) we see that $x_1 = \overline{x_1} = \overline{w_1(f_1', f_2')}$. So $x_1 = \overline{f_i' R_{u_1} \dots R_{u_l}}$ and $x_2 = \overline{f_j' R_{v_1} \dots R_{v_m}}$. This is possible only if $x_1 = \overline{f_i'}$ and $x_2 = \overline{f_j'}$. So $f_i' = \lambda_1 x_1 + \mu_1$ and $f_j' = \lambda_2 x_2 + \mu_2 x_1 + \nu_2$ where λ_1, λ_2, μ_1, μ_2, $\nu_2 \in k$, λ_1, $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and φ is tame. □

Now we want to formulate some open questions closely related to obtained results.

Problem 1. *Are three generated subalgebras of free right-symmetric algebras free?*

Note that a five generated non-free subalgebra of a free right-symmetric algebra was given in [11].

It is well known that the algebraic dependence of a finite set of elements of a polynomial algebra is algorithmically recognizable. There exists an algorithm which decides whether a finite set of elements in a free Lie algebra is free (see, for example [19]). It is also known that the freeness of a finite set of elements is algorithmically unrecognizable for free associative algebras [24].

Problem 2. *Is the freeness of a finite set of elements of a free right-symmetric algebra algorithmically recognizable?*

Problem 3. *Are the automorphisms of finitely generated free right-symmetric algebras tame?*

Acknowledgments

The third author wishes to thank the Department of Mathematics of Wayne State University in Detroit for the support while he was working on this project.

REFERENCES

[1] G. M. Bergman, Centralizers in free associative algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (1969), 327–344.

[2] L. A. Bokut', G. P. Kukin, Algorithmic and combinatorial algebra, Mathematics and its Applications, 255. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1994.

[3] L. A. Bokut', Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., 36 (1972), 1173–1219.

[4] P. M. Cohn, Subalgebras of free associative algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc., 56 (1964), 618–632.

[5] P. M. Cohn, Free rings and their relations, 2nd Ed., Academic Press, London, 1985.

[6] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2, I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 160 (1971), 393–401; 171 (1972), 309–315.

[7] A. Dzhumadil'daev, Minimal identities for right-symmetric algebras, J. Algebra 225 (2000), no. 1, 201–230.

[8] A. Dzhumadil'daev, C. Löfwall, Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free Novikov algebras and identities, The Roos Festschrift volume, 1. Homology Homotopy Appl. 4 (2002), no. 2, part 1, 165–190.

[9] H. W. E. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, J. reine angew. Math., 184 (1942), 161–174.

[10] D. Kh. Kozybaev, U. U. Umirbaev, The Magnus embedding for right-symmetric algebras. (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 45 (2004), no. 3, 592–599; translation in Siberian Math. J. 45 (2004), no. 3, 488–494.

[11] D. Kh. Kozybaev, On the structure of universal multiplicative algebras of free right-symmetric algebras, Vestnik KazNU (submitted).

[12] G. P. Kukin, The word problem for Lie algebras, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 18 (1977), no. 5, 1194–1197.

[13] W. van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, (3)1 (1953), 33–41.

[14] W. Magnus, Über discontinuierliche Gruppen mit einer definierenden Relation (Der Freiheitssatz), J. Reine Angew. Math., 163 (1930), 141-165

[15] L. Makar-Limanov, The automorphisms of the free algebra with two generators, Funksional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 4(1970), no.3, 107-108; English translation: in Functional Anal. Appl. 4 (1970), 262–263.

[16] L. Makar-Limanov, Algebraically closed skew fields, J. Algebra, 93 (1985), no. 1, 117–135.

[17] L. Makar-Limanov, U. U. Umirbaev, Centralizers in free Poisson algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 7, 1969–1975.

[18] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Turusbekova, U. Umirbaev, Automorphisms and derivations of free Poisson algebras in two variables, J. Algebra (submitted).

[19] A. Mikhalev, V. Shpilrain, J.-T. Yu, Combinatorial methods. Free groups, polynomials, and free algebras, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathmatiques de la SMC, 19. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[20] N. S. Romanovskii, A theorem on freeness for groups with one defining relation in varieties of solvable and nilpotent groups of given degrees, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 89(131) (1972), 93–99, 166.

[21] D. Segal, Free left-symmetric algebras and an analogue of the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, J. Algebra 164 (1994), 750–752.

[22] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, Tame and wild automorphisms of rings of polynomials in three variables, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 17 (2004), 197–227.

[23] A. I. Shirshov, Some algorithm problems for Lie algebras, Sibirsk. Mat. Z., 3 (1962), 292–296.

[24] U. U. Umirbaev, Some algorithmic questions concerning associative algebras, Algebra i Logika 32 (1993), no. 4, 450–470.

[25] U. U. Umirbaev, On Schreier varieties of algebras, Algebra i Logika 33 (1994), no. 3, 317–340.

[26] U. U. Umirbaev, The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 605 (2007), 165–178.

[27] E. B. Vinberg, Convex homogeneous cones, Transl. Moscow Math. Soc. 12 (1963), 340–403.